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#### Abstract

Benzyl- or phenylacetyl halides react with $\mathrm{Na}\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$ to yield an equilibrium mixture of ( $\eta^{1}$-benzyl)-, ( $\eta^{3}$-benzyl)and ( $\eta^{1}$-phenylacetyl) cobalt carbonyls. The equilibria are reversible and can be shifted by bubbling Ar or CO through the reaction mixture, resulting in enrichment of the CO -deficient and -rich derivatives, respectively. The mono- $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ derivatives of the $\eta^{1}$ complexes show similar behavior. Styrenes react with $\mathrm{HCo}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ to $\alpha$-methyl derivatives of the former compounds and ( $\beta$-phenylpropionyl)cobalt tetracarbonyls. $2,6-\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COCo}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ (VIIh) and $\eta^{1}-\left[\eta^{6}-\left(4-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cr}\right.$ $\left.(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right] \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mathbf{X I b})$ were characterized by X-ray crystallography as first examples of a nonfluorinated alkylcobalt tetracarbonyl and an acylcobalt carbonyl. Complex VIIh crystallizes in a triclinic cell of dimensions $a=8.866$ (2) $\AA, b=11.525$ (4) $\AA$, and $c=14.338(3) \AA, \alpha=74.74(2)^{\circ}, \beta=83.42(2)^{\circ}$, and $\gamma=80.84(2)^{\circ}, Z=2$, space group $P \mathrm{I}$, and $R=0.052$. The molecule consists of a trigonal bipyramid with axial acyl and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ groups. Crystals of compound XIb show a monoclinic cell of dimensions $a=12.365$ (2) $\AA, b=7.031$ (1) $\AA, c=19.656$ (3) $\AA, \beta=97.68$ (1) ${ }^{\circ}, Z=4$, space group $P 2_{1} / c$, and $R=$ 0.077 . The molecule shows a trigonal-bipyramidal geometry.


Alkylcobalt carbonyls ${ }^{3}$ are proved or believed to play key roles as intermediates in some important catalytic reaction cycles (hydrogenations, hydroformylation, homologation of alcohols, etc.).

The special reactivity of the benzyl- and/or (phenylacetyl)cobalt tetracarbonyls was recognized already several years ago. ${ }^{47}$ The preparation and reversible CO uptake of the triphenylphosphine derivative of benzylcobalt tetracarbonyl has been reported by one of us in $1968 .{ }^{8}$

Following the pioneering works of Alper ${ }^{9}$ and $\mathrm{Foa}^{10}$ on the two-phase carbonylation of benzyl halides, the interest in such systems revived again in the late 1970s. ${ }^{11-17}$ Another motive of recent interest in this chemistry was provided by indications of radical pathways ${ }^{18}$ in the reaction of styrene and its derivatives with $\mathrm{HCo}(\mathrm{CO})_{4} .^{19-26}$
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Scheme I


These works, however, did not report the isolation and characterization of benzylcobalt tetracarbonyl and its derivatives. The lack of preparative data prompted us to perform the study which will be reported here as a continuation of our earlier works on alkylcobalt carbonyls (c.f., ref 1-3 and references cited therein).

## Results and Discussion

Preparative Results. Benzyl (I) or phenylacetyl (II) halides react with $\mathrm{Na}\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$ under 1 bar of CO or Ar to yield an equilibrium mixture of ( $\eta^{3}$-benzyl) cobalt tricarbonyls (III) and ( $\eta^{{ }^{\prime}}$-benzyl)-(IV) and ( $\eta^{\prime}$-phenylacetyl)cobalt tetracarbonyls (V) (Scheme I).

The structure of compounds III and $\mathbf{V}$ was confirmed by analyses and spectra but that of complexes IV only by spectra since the two carbonylation/decarbonylation equilibria prevented the isolation of IV in pure form. This was rendered even more difficult by the fact that compounds IV and $\mathbf{V}$ are oils like most other alkyl- or acylcobalt carbonyls. ${ }^{27-30}$
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## Scheme II



Compounds III are dark-red, air-sensitive oily substances with the exception of IIIf which is a black, microcrystalline solid. The compounds undergo in a few days a reaction even if stored at $\mathbf{7 0}$ to $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This transformation is characterized by a significant loss in solubility and the appearance of new peaks in the mass spectra which can be deduced from the dimer and higher oligomer(s). ${ }^{31}$ This prevented X-ray structure determination. The mechanism of this oligomerization is unclear.

The equilibrium nature of the transformations III $\rightleftharpoons$ IV and IV $\rightleftharpoons \mathbf{V}$ was proved chemically as follows:
(i) Both compounds I and II yielded practically the same reaction mixture (as detected by measuring the relative intensities of characteristic $\nu(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O})$ bands) if the CO partial pressure was the same.
(ii) The composition of the reaction mixture depended on the nature of the atmosphere used: under CO, concentrations of IV and $\mathbf{V}$ were higher, while under Ar the formation of more III could be observed. Application of 2-7 bar of CO pressure resulted in an almost exclusive formation of $\mathbf{V}$. These shifts in the composition of the solutions containing III, IV, and V could be repeated several times without significant decrease in the overall concentration. The equilibria are reached within $1 / 2-4 \mathrm{~h}$ at atmospheric pressure and room temperature.

Substituent(s) on the phenyl ring influenced the equilibrium composition markedly. Electronegative substituents ( $4-\mathrm{Cl}, 2,6-\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) disfavored the formation of III and $\mathbf{V}$ complexes while electronreleasing ones 3 - or $4-\mathrm{Me}$ and -OMe resulted in relatively more III and $V$.
Equilibrium data could not be obtained yet due to experimental difficulties. In one case the equilibrium of an alkyl/acyl pair could be measured: $K \sim 1$ at $740 \mathrm{mmHg} \mathrm{CO}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the IVa/Va couple.

In an attempt to obtain more stable derivatives of type III and solid ones from compounds IV and $\mathbf{V}$, we reacted the equilibrium mixtures of these compounds with $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$. The results are summarized in Scheme II.
The fact that compounds III yield the substituted alkyl derivatives VI instead of a $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$-substituted ( $\eta^{3}$-benzyl) cobalt dicarbonyl shows very well that the most loosely coordinated "ligand" in III is the aromatic ring. This is in good agreement with the asymmetric coordination of the benzyl group to the metal in similar complexes ${ }^{33,34}$ and can be visualized by attributing some reality even to the $\sigma, \pi$-type form IIIB. This behavior is markedly different

[^1]
from that of ( $\eta^{3}$-allyl)cobalt tricarbonyl, ${ }^{35}$ where the organic ligand is much more symmetric and more tightly bound to the metal. ${ }^{39}$


The substituted derivatives of VI and VII showed a similar carbonylation-decarbonylation behavior as the corresponding tetracarbonyls, in agreement with observations on the $\mathbf{V I a} \rightleftharpoons \mathbf{V I a}$ couple. ${ }^{8}$

Compounds VI and VII are solids, and thus crystals suitable for X-ray structure determination could be grown for one representative of the $\eta^{1}$-acyl-type complexes: VIIh. In spite of the large importance of acylcobalt carbonyls as intermediates of the hydroformylation reaction (c.f., e.g., ref 3 and 40-42), to the best of our knowledge no $x$-ray diffraction structural study ${ }^{43}$ has been reported earlier on this class of compounds. The structure will be discussed later in the paper.

A representative of compounds IV could be isolated by coordinating the aromatic ring as an $\eta^{6}$-ligand to a transition metal. We prepared ${ }^{44} \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$-substituted benzyl chlorides and reacted these with $\mathrm{Na}\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$ as shown in Scheme III. The $\eta^{6}$-coordinated aromatic ring has apparently lost its capacity to replace one of the CO ligands due to the strong electronic effect of the coordinated $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ group ${ }^{45}$ and also prevented the formation of the $\eta^{1}$-acyl derivative. ${ }^{47}$
(35) ( $\eta^{3}$-Allyl)cobalt tricarbonyls yield CO-substitution products with tertiary phosphines, ${ }^{36}$ but if the tertiary phosphine is present already during the reaction of $\mathrm{Na}\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$ with the allyl halogenide, the substituted ( $\eta^{1}$-allyl)cobalt compound is formed ${ }^{37}$ However, the reaction of ( $\eta^{3}$-allyl)cobalt with CO was shown recently to yield the ( $\eta^{i}$-allyl)cobalt tetracarbony $1^{38}$ (although photochemically in the CO matrix).
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(42) Pino, P.; Piacenti, F.; Bianchi, M. Organic Syntheses via Metal Carbonyls; Wender, I., Pino, P., Eds.; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1977; Vol. 2, p 43.
(43) It should be noted that the structure of a compound containing the acyl group of an inorganic (carbonic) acid has been reported recently. $\mathrm{MeOC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ : Milstein, D.; Huckaby, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 6150.
(44) The reactions leading to the $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$-substituted benzyl chlorides were described for R = H (Holmes, J. D.; Jones, D. A. K.; Pettit, R. J. Organomet. Chem. 1965, 4, 324). $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$ was prepared analogously
(45) Approximately equal to that of a $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ group. ${ }^{46}$
(46) Nichols, B.; Whiting, M. C. J. Chem. Soc. 1959, 551.

## Scheme IV



In fact the $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$-substituted benzylcobalt tetracarbonyls XI were crystalline, relatively stable substances which could be isolated in pure form, and the molecular structure of XIb could be determined by X-ray diffraction. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first X-ray structure of a nonfluorinated alkylcobalt tetracarbonyl. ${ }^{23,48}$ The details of the structure will be discussed later.

Compounds XI were reacted with 40 bar of CO. Samples were taken from the autoclave and analyzed by IR spectroscopy. The $\nu(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O})$ spectrum indicated low concentrations of the corresponding acylcobalt compounds, and a yellow precipitate was formed. In an attempt to obtain the IR spectrum of this material by dissolving it in $n$-hexane (at atmospheric CO pressure), an orange solution was obtained which showed only the bands of the starting compound XI.

Reaction of compounds XI with triphenylphosphine led to the monosubstituted acylcobalt compounds which could be decarbonylated to the corresponding alkylcobalt derivatives. The substitution occurred exclusively on the Co atom. This could be expected on the basis of the relative positions of the $v(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O})$ bands corresponding to the $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ fragments in XI which indicate that the carbonyl ligands on Co are the more reactive ones. ${ }^{49}$

We also intended to prepare $\alpha$-alkyl-substituted analogues of compounds III, IV, and V. The reaction of styrene and its ring-substituted derivatives XII with $\mathrm{HCo}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ were chosen for this purpose. In fact styrenes XII reacted smoothly with HCo $(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ according to Scheme IV. Compounds XIII-XVI were not isolated in pure form but detected by (mostly IR) spectroscopy, using the analogy of the spectra to those of the corresponding complexes III-V.

The following observations should be mentioned:
(i) The ( $\eta^{3}$-benzyl) cobalt complexes were formed in these systems even if the aromatic ring carried electronegative substituents (XIIIf,g). This shows the greater tendency of formation of $\alpha$-alkyl-substituted $\eta^{3}$-benzyl complexes. Accordingly the formation of intermediates of type XIII should be considered in atmospheric (stoichiometric) carbonylations of structures related to styrene.
(ii) It has been tested whether the results of the reaction between 2-phenylethyl bromide and $\mathrm{Na}\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$ are comparable to those of the styrene $+\mathrm{HCo}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ reaction. We have found practically identical product compositions (as analyzed by IR spectroscopy) in the two cases, proving that earlier attempts to model the problems of carbonylations using the alkyl halide $+\mathrm{Na}\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$ route have provided reliable results. ${ }^{1,2,8,30}$ (Kinetic and CIDNP

[^2]results of the $\mathrm{HCo}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}+$ styrene reaction were published elsewhere. ${ }^{24,25.50}$ )
(iii) When the reaction mixtures in $n$-octane solution were left to stand for 1-3 days, compounds XIII-XV slowly decomposed and a new type of cobaltoorganic species formed which seemed to be the most stable against decomposition. This turned out to be $\mathrm{RC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$, ${ }^{\text {sta }}$ i.e., the (alkoxycarbonyl)cobalt tetracarbonyl derived from the alcohol formed by the reduction of $\alpha$-formylated styrene. This observation can be interpreted as an independent preparative support of the recent work of Martin and Baird, ${ }^{51 b}$ suggesting that acylcobalt carbonyls derived from carboxylic acids ( $\mathrm{RC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{~L}=$ tert(phosphine) may be transformed to the corresponding "homologous" (alkoxycarbonyl)cobalt carbonyls ( $\mathrm{RCH}_{2} \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})$ $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{~L}$, derived from half esters of carbonic acid). It should be mentioned that an analogous reaction of acylmanganese carbonyls has been characterized by Freudenberger and Orchin. ${ }^{\text {sid }}$ We are currently investigating this interesting reaction which might be of primary importance in all cases where $\mathrm{RC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Co}-$ $(\mathrm{CO})_{n} \mathrm{~L}_{4-n}$-type acylcobalt carbonyls occur (hydroformylation, homologation, and other carbonylations catalyzed or assisted by Co).

## Spectra and Structures

The IR $\nu(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O})$ data are collected in Table I and the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra in Table II.

The structure of compounds III is based on analogies of the spectra. The IR spectra show a distorted, $C_{3 v}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1}+\mathrm{E}\right)^{52 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}$ band pattern, showing a more rigid asymmetric organic ligand than that of the ( $\eta^{3}$-allyl) cobalt tricarbonyl. ${ }^{52 c}$ Even the separation of the higher ( $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ ) band of the lower E (or split E ) system is similar, $66.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ at $\left[\eta^{3}-\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\right] \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ and, e.g., $72.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for IIIf. The most characteristic features of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra are the diastereotopic separation of the signals of the benzylic $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ protons and the high-field shift of the signals corresponding to the ring protons with respect to the values of aromatic systems. This behavior is similar to the low-temperature proton resonance pattern of some ( $\eta^{3}$-benzyl)molybdenum and -tungsten complexes ${ }^{34}$ (as shown with an example in Table II). These data show that complexes III are nonfluxional at room temperature which is a rare phenomenon within ( $\eta^{3}$-benzyl)metal complexes. ${ }^{53}$ Eventual fluxionality at higher temperatures was tested with IIIf but the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum did not change when the sample was heated to $+60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

It seems reasonable to suppose that the small difference between the $\delta$ values corresponding to the methoxy groups in IIIf indicates that the 3-methoxy group and the Co atom are situated on the opposite edges of the ring. Since this can be attributed to steric factors, a similar geometry can be expected for IIIc and especially for IIIb.

Because of the equilibria with alkyl and acyl derivatives, it was not possible to obtain good quality ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra for compounds XIII. Their overall structure can be deduced therefore only from analogies between their IR spectra with those of compounds III.

The structures suggested for compounds IV, V, and XI are based on their IR spectra, ${ }^{3,27,30}$ the IR spectra of the phosphine-substituted derivatives (vide infra), the thorough normal coordinate analysis of the $\nu(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O})$ vibrations of $\mathrm{RM}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ molecules, ${ }^{54}$ and the structures of compounds XIb and VIIh. It is of interest to note that there is no detectable vibrational coupling between the cobalt and chromium carbonyl parts in compounds XI and their

[^3]Table I. Infrared $\nu(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O})$ Data of the New Cobalt Carbonyls ( $n$-Hexane, DCl Calibrated, $\pm 0.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ )

| type |  | $\begin{aligned} & R^{\prime}=H, \text { III } \\ & \left(R^{\prime}=\mathrm{Me}\right), \text { XIII } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & R^{\prime}=H, I V \\ & \left(R^{\prime}=M e\right), X I V \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| assignment ${ }^{a}$ substitutents | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | E | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | E |
| $\begin{aligned} & R, R^{\prime}=H\left(R^{\prime}=M e\right) \\ & H, a \end{aligned}$ | 2055.5 s | $\begin{aligned} & 1994.5 \mathrm{~s}(1990) \\ & 1978.5 \mathrm{~s}(1973) \end{aligned}$ | 2099 m (2094) | 2033 m | 2015 vs |
| 2-Me, b | 2054 s | $\begin{aligned} & 1992.5 \mathrm{~s}(1990) \\ & 1974 \mathrm{~s}(1971) \end{aligned}$ | 2097 m (2093) | 2032.5 m | 2014 vs |
| 3-Me, c | 2054 s | $\begin{aligned} & 1991.5 \mathrm{~s}(1987) \\ & 1975 \mathrm{~s}(1972) \end{aligned}$ | 2098 m (2093) | 2032 m | 2014 vs |
| 4-Me, d | 2054 s | $\begin{aligned} & 1990.5 \mathrm{~s}(1885) \\ & 1973 \mathrm{~s}(1968) \end{aligned}$ | 2097 m (2093) | 2032 m | 2013 vs |
| 4-MeO, e | 2049 s | $\begin{aligned} & 1989 \mathrm{~s}(1983) \\ & 1969.5 \mathrm{~s}(1967) \end{aligned}$ | 2096 m (2091) | 2029 m | 2011 vs |
| 3,4-( MeO$)_{2}$, f | 2047.5 s | $\begin{aligned} & 1983.5 \mathrm{~s} \\ & 1967.5 \mathrm{~s} \end{aligned}$ | 2095 m | 2029 m | 2008 vs |
| $4-\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{g}$ | 2056 s | $\begin{aligned} & 1997 \text { s (1996) } \\ & 1979 \text { s (1977) } \end{aligned}$ | 2099 m (2095) | 2034 m | 2015 vs |
| 2,6- $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{~h}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1983.5 \mathrm{~s} \\ & 1967.5 \mathrm{~s} \end{aligned}$ | 2101.5 m | 2035.5 m | 2021.5 vs |
| type |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & R=H, V \\ & (R=M e), X V \end{aligned}$ |  | $\bigcirc-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ |
| assignment ${ }^{a}$ substituents $R, R^{\prime}=H\left(R^{\prime}=M e\right)$ | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | E | acyl | acyl |
| H, a | 2106.5 m (2105) | 2048.5 m (2046) | $\begin{aligned} & 2026 \text { vs (2025) } \\ & 2006.5 \text { vs }(2005) \end{aligned}$ | $b$ (1699) | 1715 |
| 2-Me, b | 2105.5 m (2104) | 2048 m (2044) | $\begin{aligned} & 2025 \text { vs }(2024) \\ & 2004 \text { vs }(2003) \end{aligned}$ | $b$ (1708) | 1719 |
| 3-Me, c | 2105.5 m (2104) | 2044 m (2045) | $\begin{aligned} & 2024 \text { vs (2025) } \\ & 2005 \text { vs }(2004) \end{aligned}$ | $b$ (1719) | 1720 |
| 4-Me, d | 2105.5 m (2104) | 2047 m (2045) | $\begin{aligned} & 2025 \text { vs (2025) } \\ & 2005 \text { vs }(2004) \end{aligned}$ | $b$ (1705) | 1720 |
| $4-\mathrm{MeO}, \mathrm{e}$ | 2105 m (2103) | 2047 m (2044) | $\begin{aligned} & 2025 \text { vs (2023) } \\ & 2005 \text { vs }(2003) \end{aligned}$ | $b$ (1707) | 1718 |
| 3,4- $\mathrm{MeO}_{2}$, f | 2105 m | 2047 m | $\begin{aligned} & 2024 \text { vs } \\ & 2004 \text { vs } \end{aligned}$ | $b$ |  |
| $4-\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{g}$ | 2106.5 m (2106) | 2050 m (2048) | $\begin{aligned} & 2027 \text { vs (2027) } \\ & 2006 \text { vs }(2006) \end{aligned}$ | (1707) | 1715 |
| 2,6-Cl ${ }_{2}$, h | 2107.5 m | 2049.5 m | $\begin{aligned} & 2029 \text { vs } \\ & 2009 \text { vs } \end{aligned}$ | $b$ |  |



[^4]Table II. $\eta^{1}$ H NMR Spectra of ( $\eta^{3}$-Benzyl)cobalt Tricarbonyls and of Triphenylphosphine-Substituted Alkyl- and Acylcobalt Carbonyls ( $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$, $\delta$ Values Relative to $\delta_{\text {TMS }} \mathrm{O}$ )

$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, 2.25$ (s, $\alpha-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 4.50 ( s , ring $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{s}$ )
$\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{CO}$
$\mathrm{R}=4-\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{CO} 1.50\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, \alpha-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.35\left(\right.$ ring $\left.\quad 1.50 \mathrm{~ns}, 4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.10\left(\mathrm{~s}, \alpha-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.60\left(\right.$ ring AB system, $\left.J_{l}=30, J_{2}=27 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ AB system, $J_{t}=25, J_{2}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ )
$\mathbf{R}=4-\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3} 1.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{br}, \alpha-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.80$
(ring AB system, $J_{1}=58, J_{2}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ )
${ }^{a}$ In $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}: 3.54 .{ }^{b}$ In $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}: 4.34 \mathrm{~s}$.


Figure 1. Structure of XIb.
acyl- and phosphine-substituted derivatives.
The phosphine-substituted derivatives show $\nu(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O})$ spectra corresponding to a more or less distorted $C_{30}$ geometry, which corresponds to a trigonal-bipyramidal geometry with axial alkyl (acyl) and phosphine groups. This array is confirmed by the $P$ H coupling between the $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ligand and the $\alpha-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ group. ${ }^{30,5 s}$ However, the final proof for the structure of these compounds is again based on X-ray diffraction results: on those of $\mathrm{HCF}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{PPh}_{3}{ }^{56}$ and $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{PPh}_{3}{ }^{30}$ for the alkyl derivatives and on that of VIIh for the acyl derivatives.

Thus it can be stated that the most important source of information from the IR spectra is the similarity within each type, which proves that the X-ray structures can be generalized.

[^5]Table III. Bond Lengths and Angles of XIb with Standard Deviations in Parentheses ${ }^{a}$

| distances, $\AA$ | angles, deg |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.791(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $91.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.806(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $94.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.788(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $94.6(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.848(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $179.2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $2.126(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $122.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.141(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $116.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1.132(10)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $119.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $1.138(10)$ | $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $112.3(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $1.085(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $121.0(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.498(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $122.0(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.416(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $116.9(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.404(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $122.2(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.388(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $121.0(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.421(8)$ | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $120.2(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.386(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $121.7(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.408(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $122.0(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.506(9)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $120.0(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.826(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $89.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.850(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $89.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.829(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $89.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $2.261(6)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $2.204(6)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $2.221(6)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $2.246(7)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $2.212(7)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $2.228(7)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $1.162(8)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | $1.147(9)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{O}(7)$ | $1.163(8)$ |  |  |
| D |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Distance Cr to best plane of $[\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(14)]=1.731 \AA$.
The structure of XIb is shown in Figure 1, and Table III provides some characteristic geometric information. This structure


Figure 2. Structure of VIIh.
Table IV. Bond Lengths and Bond Angles in the Molecule VIIh with Standard Deviations in Parentheses

| lengths, $\AA$ |  |  | angles, deg |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.778(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $87.2(2)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.777(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $88.7(2)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.798(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $88.1(2)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.996(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{P}$ | $178.9(1)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{P}$ | $2.259(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{Co}$ | $116.1(3)$ |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $119.9(4)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.143(6)$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1.139(5)$ | $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $124.0(4)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $1.132(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(40)$ | $114.5(4)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $1.193(5)$ | $\mathrm{CO}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $113.6(1)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.537(7)$ | $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $115.2(1)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(40)$ | $1.509(6)$ | $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $114.5(1)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.810(3)$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $1.823(4)$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $1.823(4)$ |  |  |  |  |

is the first direct experimental evidence for the trigonal-bipyramidal geometry (with axial alkyl group) of alkylcobalt tetracarbonyls.

The equatorial carbonyl groups are slightly bent toward the (very bulky) alkyl group, confirming the prediction of Bor ${ }^{54}$ based on approximate force-field analysis of $\nu(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O})$ spectra of RM $(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ systems. This result shows that even approximate normal coordinate analysis may furnish fine structural details if applied in a sophisticated manner.

The length of the $\alpha$-C(alkyl)-Co bond is definitely longer (212.6 (7) pm ) than the sum of the covalent radii ( 202 pm ). ${ }^{57}$ This feature has also been observed in the case of $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{PPh}_{3}(210.9(8) \mathrm{pm}),{ }^{30}$ which, however, is a phosphine derivative. The present observation demonstrates this is an apparently general feature of alkylcobalt carbonyls containing an $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ carbon atom in the $\beta$-position and is therefore not the consequence of a trans influence ${ }^{58}$ of the phosphine ligand. According to approximate (Extended Hückel/Wolfsberg-Helmholz) MO calculations, ${ }^{59}$ it can be explained by relatively high electron densities accumulated on the C(alkyl)-Co antibonding orbitals.

In terms of reactivity, this means that the relative kinetic stability of these compounds against CO insertion may be due to the lower nucleophilicity of the $\alpha$-carbon atom because of a significant decrease of its electron density. ${ }^{60}$
The molecular structure of VIIh is displayed in Figure 2, and characteristic bond data are summarized in Table IV. It can be seen that the overall geometry represents a trigonal-bipyramidal array with axial acyl and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ as supposed already on the basis

[^6]of infrared data. Since CO "insertion" ${ }^{61}$ is a 1,2 -alkyl migration and the alkyl complex XIb has an axial alkyl type structure, an axial phosphine, equatorial acyl type product would not be surprising either. Obviously a rearrangement takes place either in the intermediate (most probably an acylcobalt tricarbonyl) or immediately after the product has been formed. ${ }^{62}$
The C(acyl)-Co bond distance is remarkably shorter (199.6 (4) pm ) than the $\alpha$ - C (alkyl)-Co distance in $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{PPh}_{3}{ }^{30}$ or in XIb but near to that of $\mathrm{HCF}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Co}-$ (CO) ${ }_{3} \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ (195 (3) pm). ${ }^{56}$ Since it is obvious to suppose some $\mathrm{p} \pi-\mathrm{d} \pi$ interaction between the acyl group and the Co atom in an acylcobalt carbonyl, the back-bonding-type stabilization mechanism suggested to explain the stability of fluorinated alkylcobalt carbonyls ${ }^{63}$ is supported by this comparison.

## Experimental Section

Starting compounds were of commercial origin except $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}$ which was prepared by a known high-pressure method. ${ }^{64}$ A conventional inert experimental technique was used, employing dried ( $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, silica), $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ - and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$-free ( $\mathrm{KOH}, \mathrm{DEOXO}$ ) gases ( $\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ) as well as peroxideand $\mathrm{O}_{2}$-free, dry solvent (alumina, sodium wire, distillation under Ar ).

The spectra were recorded with the following instruments: IR ( $n$ hexane, DCl calibrated), IR-75 (Carl Zeiss, Jena, GDR); ${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( 80 MHz, TMS), BS-487 (Tesla, Brno, CSSR); MS JMS 01-SG-2 (Jeol, Japan).

X-ray Diffraction Study of VIIh and XIb. A pale-yellow crystal of VIIh and a plate-shaped orange crystal of XIb were sealed under nitrogen in glass capillaries and measured with $2 \theta-\omega$ scan techniques on a R3 Syntex four-circle diffractometer. The intensity of three check reflections, measured every 100 reflections in the data collection of XIb, decreased by $20 \%$ due to decomposition of the crystal, becoming brown. All data were corrected by a decomposition curve, evaluated from the check reflections. The structure solutions obtained by direct methods and structure refinements with block cascades and display were performed with Shelxtl software ${ }^{65}$ on a NOVA $3 / 12$ computer (Data General). Neutral scattering factors were applied as incorporated in SHELXTL. ${ }^{65}$

For VIIh the phosphorus-bonded phenyl groups including hydrogen atoms were refined as rigid groups ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ distance $139.5 \mathrm{pm}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ distance 96.0 pm ). The positions of the two hydrogen atoms bonded to $\mathrm{C}(5)$ were calculated and included to structure refinement. A difference Fourier revealed the positions of the hydrogen atoms bonded to $\mathrm{C}(42)$, $\mathrm{C}(43)$, and $\mathrm{C}(44)$. Their positional parameters were refined with a unique temperature factor ( $U=0.16$ (1)).

The positions of the hydrogen atoms of XIb, bonded to $\mathrm{C}(15)$ and $\mathrm{C}(8)$, were calculated and refined as rigid groups (unique temperature factor $U=0.078$ (9)); those bonded to $\mathrm{C}(10), \mathrm{C}(11), \mathrm{C}(13)$, and $\mathrm{C}(14)$ were taken from a difference Fourier and refined with a unique temperature factor ( $U=0.049(8)$ ).

Crystal dimensions and supplement data are summarized in Table $\mathbf{V}$. Tables VI and VII contain the atomic coordinates and Tables 8 and 9 (supplementary material) the anisotropic temperature parameters of XIb and VIIh, respectively.

Reaction of Benzyl of Phenylacetyl Chlorides with $\mathrm{Na}\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$. A $\mathrm{Na}\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$ solution in $30 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was prepared from $0.34 \mathrm{~g}(1$ mmol ) of $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}$ and excess $1.5 \% \mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{Hg}$. The colorless liquid was decanted into a Schlenk vessel under CO or Ar, and 1.9 mmol of substituted benzyl chloride was added at room temperature. If the reaction was performed under CO , some CO absorption was observed. After the solution was stirred for $1-8 \mathrm{~h}$, the precipitation of NaCl was complete and the $1890-\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ band of $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]^{-}$had disappeared from the IR spectrum. The resulting orange solution was filtered and the solvent evaporated at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, leaving a dark-red oil. This product was according to IR spectra ( $n$-hexane) a mixture of compounds III, IV, and $\mathbf{V}$.

The use of the corresponding phenylacetyl chlorides instead of benzyl chlorides led to the same product with practically the same ratio of compounds III, IV, and V. The reaction time, however, was shorter ( $\sim 20$ min at room temperature), and some evolution of CO was observed independently of the atmosphere used.

[^7]Table V. Crystal Dimensions and Supplement Data of VIIh and XIb

|  | VIIh | XIb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| formula | $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{CoO}_{4} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{CoCrO} 7$ |
| fw | 595.26 | 412.14 |
| cryst dimensions, mm | $0.18 \times 0.02 \times 0.01$ | $0.20 \times 0.30 \times 0.05$ |
| $a, \AA$ | 8.866 (2) | 12.365 (2) |
| $b, \AA$ | 11.525 (4) | 7.031 (1) |
| $c, \AA$ | 14.338 (3) | 19.656 (3) |
| $\alpha$, deg | 74.74 (2) | 90 |
| $\beta$, deg | 83.42 (2) | 97.68 (1) |
| $\gamma$, deg | 80.84 (2) | 90 |
| cell vol, $\AA^{3}$ | 1391.4 (6) | 1693.4 (4) |
| cryst system | triclinic | monoclinic |
| space group | P1 | $P 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ |
| $\boldsymbol{Z}$ | 2 | 4 |
| density calcd, $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ | 1.42 | 1.61 |
| $\mu$ (Mo K $\alpha$ ), $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | 8.96 | 16.23 |
| $\lambda$ (Mo K $\alpha$ ) graphite monochromator, $\AA$ | 0.71073 | 0.71073 |
| max $2 \theta$, deg | 50 | 55 |
| scan speed variable, $\mathrm{deg} / \mathrm{min}$ (min at $I<$ 150 counts $/ \mathrm{s}$, max at $I$ $>2500$ counts/s) | 1.5-10 | 1.5-15 |
| scan to background time ratio | 1:1 | 1:1 |
| no. of independent reflections | 4800 | 3020 |
| no. of obsd reflections | 3187 | 1988 |
| observation limit | $F_{\circ} \geq 3.5 \sigma(F)$ | $F_{0} \geq 2.5 \sigma(F)$ |
| internal merging $R$ factor before empirical absorption correction | 1.6\% | 5.46\% |
| after correction | 1.3\% | 2.69\% |
| $R$ value | 5.2\% | 7.7\% |
| $R_{\mathrm{w}} \text { value, } w^{-1}=\sigma^{2}\left(F_{0}\right)+$ | 4.6\% | 5.9\% |
| A | $2.2 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| max rest electron density, e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.38 | 0.67 |

Table VI. Atomic Coordinates for XIb, with Estimated Standard Deviations in Parentheses

| atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Co | $0.69718(7)$ | $1.03419(14)$ | $0.20187(4)$ |
| Cr | $0.77775(8)$ | $1.42351(16)$ | $-0.01447(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $0.6212(5)$ | $0.9149(11)$ | $0.1306(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $0.6896(6)$ | $0.8293(12)$ | $0.2563(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $0.6229(6)$ | $1.1857(12)$ | $0.2518(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $0.8472(5)$ | $1.0433(12)$ | $0.2144(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $0.8474(5)$ | $1.5338(10)$ | $-0.0804(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $0.6529(6)$ | $1.5537(11)$ | $-0.0489(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $0.8186(5)$ | $1.6236(10)$ | $0.0425(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $0.7036(6)$ | $1.2752(9)$ | $0.1374(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $0.7473(5)$ | $1.2278(9)$ | $0.0721(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $0.8597(5)$ | $1.2373(9)$ | $0.0665(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $0.9005(5)$ | $1.1941(9)$ | $0.0060(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $0.8289(5)$ | $1.1424(9)$ | $-0.0536(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $0.7184(5)$ | $1.1390(10)$ | $-0.0492(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $0.6775(5)$ | $1.1794(10)$ | $0.0126(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $0.8734(6)$ | $1.0993(11)$ | $-0.1195(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $0.5706(4)$ | $0.8371(9)$ | $0.0865(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $0.6816(6)$ | $0.7017(9)$ | $0.2902(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $0.5736(5)$ | $1.2838(9)$ | $0.2817(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $0.9353(4)$ | $1.0442(10)$ | $0.2237(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $0.8926(4)$ | $1.5978(7)$ | $-0.1232(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)$ | $0.5761(4)$ | $1.6350(10)$ | $-0.0709(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(7)$ | $0.8439(5)$ | $1.7520(8)$ | $0.0783(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(8) \mathrm{A}$ | $0.7492(6)$ | $1.3615(9)$ | $0.1660(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(8) \mathrm{B}$ | $0.6315(6)$ | $1.3266(9)$ | $0.1284(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(15) \mathrm{A}$ | $0.9402(6)$ | $1.1662(11)$ | $-0.1216(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(15) \mathrm{B}$ | $0.8209(6)$ | $1.1371(11)$ | $-0.1573(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(15) \mathrm{C}$ | $0.8860(6)$ | $0.9651(11)$ | $-0.1219(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(10)$ | $0.9019(36)$ | $1.2850(70)$ | $0.1086(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(11)$ | $0.9784(11)$ | $1.2170(76)$ | $0.0064(25)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(13)$ | $0.6684(32)$ | $1.1236(73)$ | $-0.0917(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(14)$ | $0.6025(16)$ | $1.2228(70)$ | $0.0128(23)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Table VII. Atomic Coordinates for VIIh with Estimated Standard Deviations in Parentheses

| atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Co | $-0.05319(7)$ | $0.23476(5)$ | $0.74397(4)$ |
| $\mathbf{P}$ | $-0.24243(12)$ | $0.32359(10)$ | $0.83203(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $0.1255(2)$ | $-0.1498(2)$ | $0.6430(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(2)$ | $0.2440(3)$ | $0.2818(2)$ | $0.4152(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $-0.1332(5)$ | $0.0963(4)$ | $0.7774(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $-0.0935(5)$ | $0.3504(4)$ | $0.6370(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $0.0918(5)$ | $0.2485(4)$ | $0.8169(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $0.1115(5)$ | $0.1538(4)$ | $0.6663(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $0.0615(5)$ | $0.1226(5)$ | $0.5776(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $-0.3098(3)$ | $0.2205(3)$ | $0.9419(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $-0.2017(3)$ | $0.1564(3)$ | $1.0067(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $-0.2478(3)$ | $0.0775(3)$ | $1.0934(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $-0.4021(3)$ | $0.0628(3)$ | $1.1154(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $-0.5102(3)$ | $0.1270(3)$ | $1.0506(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $-0.4641(3)$ | $0.2058(3)$ | $0.9638(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $-0.1919(4)$ | $0.4471(3)$ | $0.8747(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $-0.2476(4)$ | $0.4655(3)$ | $0.9655(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $-0.2154(4)$ | $0.5659(3)$ | $0.9929(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $-0.1275(4)$ | $0.6479(3)$ | $0.9295(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $-0.0718(4)$ | $0.6295(3)$ | $0.8388(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $-0.1040(4)$ | $0.5291(3)$ | $0.8114(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(30)$ | $-0.4131(4)$ | $0.3926(3)$ | $0.7678(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $-0.4938(4)$ | $0.5025(3)$ | $0.7800(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $-0.6213(4)$ | $0.5556(3)$ | $0.7278(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $-0.6683(4)$ | $0.4988(3)$ | $0.6635(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $-0.5877(4)$ | $0.3889(3)$ | $0.6513(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $-0.4601(4)$ | $0.3358(3)$ | $0.7034(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(40)$ | $0.1890(4)$ | $0.0608(3)$ | $0.5211(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)$ | $0.2194(4)$ | $-0.0656(3)$ | $0.5459(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(42)$ | $0.3317(4)$ | $-0.1246(3)$ | $0.4916(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(43)$ | $0.4136(4)$ | $-0.0571(3)$ | $0.4124(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(44)$ | $0.3832(4)$ | $0.0693(3)$ | $0.3876(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(45)$ | $0.2709(4)$ | $0.1283(3)$ | $0.4420(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $-0.1815(5)$ | $0.0059(3)$ | $0.8006(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $-0.1222(5)$ | $0.4248(3)$ | $0.5690(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $0.1840(4)$ | $0.2546(4)$ | $0.8632(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $0.2417(3)$ | $0.1276(3)$ | $0.6859(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(42)$ | $0.358(7)$ | $-0.211(1)$ | $0.514(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(43)$ | $0.489(5)$ | $-0.104(5)$ | $0.379(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(44)$ | $0.455(6)$ | $0.104(5)$ | $0.337(3)$ |
|  |  |  |  |

The red oil obtained as described above was worked up as described in the following sections.

Reaction with CO and Decarbonylation. $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ or $n$-hexane solutions of the red oil obtained in the preceding experiment were purged by CO or Ar at room temperature. The former caused enrichment of the acyl derivative $\mathbf{V}$, while the latter enhanced the formation of the derivatives III and IV. This easy transformation was used to assign IR $\nu(\mathrm{CO})$ spectra of those products which could not be isolated in analytically pure form.

Preparation of ( $\eta^{3}$-Benzyltricarbonyl) cobalt III Compounds. $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}$ $(0.68 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was transformed into $\mathrm{Na}\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$ in $60 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Benzyl chloride (substituted) ( 3.8 mmol ) was added to the filtered solution under Ar atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred for 8 h at room temperature and then the solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and an Ar stream ( $40-80 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{min}$ ) was bubbled through it for $6-10 \mathrm{~h}$ until the solvent was completely evaporated. The remaining dark oily product was extracted by $20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of $n$-hexane; the solution was filtered and analyzed by IR spectroscopy. Only III and IV were present, the latter amounting to 5-15\%.

When the $n$-hexane solution obtained with 3,4 -dimethoxybenzyl chloride was chilled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a black microcrystalline substance was isolated in analytically pure form as IIIf, yield $100 \mathrm{mg}(0.34 \mathrm{mmol}), 9 \%$.

If the compounds III were stored for a few days at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under Ar , they lost their solubility in hexane, and also their solubility in benzene diminished. This was accompanied by a gradual change in the mass spectra marked by the increase of fragments higher than the original $m / e$ (294). Freshly prepared IIIf: mass spectrum, $m / e$ (rel intensity) 294 ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}, 9$ ), 266 (30), 238 (40), 210 (100), 180 (54), 151 (60), 59 (18), 28 (40), (additional peaks after 2 weeks) 488 (1), 476 (5), 460 (13), 448 (59), 432 (16), 420 (89), 404 (5), 376 (8), 348 (9), 320 (11), 302 (80).

Preparation of (Phenylacetyl) cobalt Tricarbonyl Triphenylphosphine (VII) Compounds. $\mathrm{Na}\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$ ( 4 mmol ) was prepared in $60 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and 3.8 mmol of a benzyl or phenylacetyl chloride was added under CO at room temperature. After $1-8 \mathrm{~h}$ of stirring, $0.52 \mathrm{~g}(2 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ was added. Vigorous CO evolution was observed which ceased after
$20-30 \mathrm{~min}$. The resulting yellow solution was then filtered and chilled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and an equal volume of cold $n$-pentane was added. A yellow powder precipitated, which was purified by repeated recrystallization(s) from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} / n$-pentane. Yields ranged between $35 \%$ and $50 \%$ (with respect to the benzyl chloride).

Preparation of Benzylcobalt Tricarbonyl Triphenylphosphine (VI) Compounds. (a) Decarbonylation of Compounds VII. (Phenylacetyl)cobalt tricarbonyl triphenylphosphine (VII) compounds were prepared as described above. After the first precipitation with $n$-pentane, the precipitate was dissolved in $30-50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of benzene and refluxed for $1-4$ h until the IR spectra showed that the transformation had been completed. Then the benzene was evaporated at room temperature at reduced pressure. The yellow product was extracted with $n$-hexane, and chilling of the hexane solution resulted in pale-yellow crystals which were repeatedly recrystallized from $n$-hexane. Yields were $10-30 \%$ (with respect to the benzyl chloride).
(b) Substitution of Compounds III. Freshly prepared ( $\eta^{3}$-benzyl)cobalt tricarbonyl III ( 0.2 mmol ) was dissolved in $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and 52 mg ( 0.2 mmol ) of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ was added. The orange color of the solution turned quickly to yellow, and no gas evolution was observed. $\mathrm{The}_{\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} \text { was }}$ drawn off and the resulting yellow product recrystallized as described above. Yields were not measured.

Preparation of $\eta^{1}-\left[\eta^{6}-\left(\mathrm{RC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right] \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ (XI) and (1,6-$\left.\eta-\mathrm{RC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ (IX) Compounds. $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}(2.2 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 15 mmol of benzyl or 4-methylbenzyl alcohol were dissolved in 30 $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ of decaline. The reaction mixture was refluxed under Ar and the $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ which sublimed into the reflux condenser was mechanically returned from time-to-time into the reaction vessel. The reaction was complete if no $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ sublimed anymore into the condenser ( $10-18 \mathrm{~h}$ ). The reaction resulted in a yellow solution containing some green precipitate which was removed by filtration. Then the solvent and the small amount of unreacted $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ was removed at reduced pressure ( 13 mbar $/ 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and the residue dissolved in $100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of $n$-hexane. When the hexane solution was chilled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, oily or crystalline substances were obtained which were purified by repeated recrystallizations from $n$-hexane and characterized by their IR $\nu(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O})$ spectra: $(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{IXa})$ $1979 \mathrm{~s}, 1912 \mathrm{~s}$, br ( $n$-hexane); ( $\mathrm{R}=4-\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{IXb}$ ) $1975 \mathrm{~s}, 1908 \mathrm{~s}$ ( $n$-hexane)
$\left(1,6-\eta-\mathrm{RC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}(\mathrm{X})$ Compounds. The benzyl alcohol complexes obtained as described above were dissolved in $30 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of benzene. This solution was intensively shaken with an equal volume of concentrated aqueous HCl for 10 min in a separatory funnel. After the separation of phases, the organic layer was quickly washed to neutral with cold water and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was drawn off and the residue recrystallized from $n$-hexane. The products were yellow crystalline substances which were moderately sensitive to air. The purity (especially after longer periods of storage) can be best controlled by Cl analysis: yields (based on $\left.\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\right)(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Xa}) 0.8 \mathrm{~g}$ (30.5\%); $(\mathrm{R}=$ $4-\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Xb}) 1.5 \mathrm{~g}(38 \%)$. The compounds were identified by elemental analyses and IR $\nu(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O})$ spectra: $(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Xa}) 1984.5 \mathrm{~s}, 1922 \mathrm{~s}, 1915$ s ( $n$-hexane); $(\mathrm{R}=4-\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Xb}) 1980 \mathrm{~s}, 1914 \mathrm{~s}$ ( $n$-hexane).

Reaction of $\left(1,6-\eta-\mathrm{RC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ Compounds with $\mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{C}$ O) $)_{4}$. $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}(0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was transformed into $\mathrm{Na}\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]$
in $20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. This solution was decanted into a Schlenk tube under Ar and 0.95 mmol of $\left(1,6-\eta-\mathrm{RC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ complex was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3-4 h until no more precipitate ( NaCl ) was formed. During this time, the yellow color of the solution turned to orange. After filtration the solvent was evaporated at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the residue dissolved in $10(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H})$ or $20(\mathrm{R}=$ $4-\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ of $n$-hexane. This solution was chilled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ which resulted in orange-red needlelike crystals of compounds XI which were moderately light- and air-sensitive. The crystals of XIa were too thin for X-ray diffraction, while those of XIb were suitable: yields, ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ XIa) 205 mg ( $56 \%$ ), ( $\mathrm{R}=4-\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{XIb}) 240 \mathrm{mg}$ ( $61 \%$ ).

Reaction of $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{1}-\left[\eta^{6}-\left(\mathrm{RC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right] \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ (XI) Compounds with $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$. (a) Compound XIa was reacted with $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at room temperature. Vigorous gas evolution was observed, and no stable metal carbonyl could be isolated.
(b) XIb ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $30 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of $n$-hexane under $\mathrm{Ar}, 131 \mathrm{mg}(0.50 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred for $20-30 \mathrm{~min}$ at room temperature. A yellow fluffy substance precipitated which was characterized as $\eta^{1}-\left[\eta^{6}\right.$-(4$\left.\left.\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right] \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$. The yield was not measured.

This compound ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was suspended in $20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of $n$-hexane and refluxed under Ar for 3-4 h. Decomposition products were removed by filtration. The filtrate was chilled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a yellow microcrystalline substance was obtained which was purified by repeated recrystallization from $n$-hexane. The product was characterized as $\eta^{1}$. $\left[\eta^{6}-\left(4-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right] \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, yield $38-68 \mathrm{mg}(40-70 \%)$.

Reaction of Styrenes with $\mathrm{HCo}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$. The reaction of (substituted) styrenes with $\mathrm{HCo}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ was performed at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a thermostated reaction flask connected to a gas buret for measuring the amount of absorbed CO after adding 2.0 mmol of $\mathrm{HCo}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ in $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of $n$-octane. In about 30 min , the CO uptake ceased at about $0.4 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{mol}$ of HCo $(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$. The $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}$ formed in the reaction was removed by crystallization on dry ice, and infrared spectra were taken immediately after melting of the frozen yellow solutions. IR spectra showed $\nu(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O})$ bands characteristic for acylcobalt carbonyls and some weak bands characteristic for $\eta^{1}$ - and $\eta^{3}$-type benzyl complexes. The same solutions after storing for 24 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under CO showed new $\nu(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O})$ bands resembling the spectrum of acylcobalt carbonyls. These new bands can be assigned to the corresponding $\mathrm{RC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ complexes as proved by an independent synthesis starting from the alcohol $\mathrm{RC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ and $\mathrm{ICo}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$. ${ }^{\text {Sa,c }} \quad \mathrm{PhCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}:$ IR $\nu(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O})$ ( $n$-hexane) $2117.4 \mathrm{w}, 2054.9 \mathrm{~m}$, 2042.3 vs, $2031.2 \mathrm{vs}, \nu(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O})_{\text {org }} 1694.3 \mathrm{mw} . \mathrm{PhCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O})-$ $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{PPh}_{3}:$ IR $\nu(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O})(n$-hexane) $2059.9 \mathrm{~m}, 1995.6 \mathrm{vs}, 1982.7 \mathrm{vs}$, $r(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O})_{\text {org }} 1664.1 \mathrm{~m} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\delta, \mathrm{TMS}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 80 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $1.17(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $\left.=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}), 3.29\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $\sim 7.3$ (br s, $20 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}$ groups)

Correct elemental analyses were obtained for the latter. Details of preparation of the reference complexes are described in ref 51 c .

Supplementary Material Available: Anisotropic temperature parameters for XIb (Table 8) and VIIh (Table 9) (3 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.


[^0]:    (19) Roth, J. A.; Orchin, M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1979, 182, 299.
    (20) Nalesnik, T. E.; Orchin, M. J. Organomet. Chem. (a) 1980, 199, 265;
    (b) 1981, 212, C16
    (21) Roth, J. A.; Wiseman, P. J. Organomet. Chem. 1981, 217, 231.
    (22) Nalesnik, T. E.; Freundenberger, J. H.; Orchin, M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1981, 221, 193.
    (23) Orchin, M. Acc. Chem. Res. 1981, 14, 259 and ref therein
    (24) Ungväry, F.; Markō, L. Organometallics 1982, 1, 1120.
    (25) Ungvāry, F.; Markō, L. J. Organomet. Chem. 1983, 249, 411.
    (26) Bartha, B.; Galamb, V.; Ungvãry, F.; Börzsönyi, S. Atti Acad. Sci. Bologna 1983, 271 (13/10), 329.
    (27) Markō, L.; Bor, G.; Almâsy, G.; Szabō, P. Brennst.-Chem. 1963, 44, 184.
    (28) Hieber, W.; Beck, W.; Lindner, E. Z. Naturforsch. 1961, 168, 229.

[^1]:    (29) Beveridge, A. D.; Clark, H. C. J. Organomet. Chem. 1968, 11, 601.
    (30) Galamb, V.; Pălyi, G.; Cser, F.; Furmanova, M. G.; Struchkov, Yu. T. J. Organomet. Chem. 1981, 209, 183.
    (31) $\eta^{3}$-Benzyl Pd complexes were found to show a similar "annoying feature ${ }^{n 32}$ which hampered the determination of the molecular structure by X-ray diffraction. The size of the unit cell, however, could be determined in this case, and it showed a marked increase accompanying the "aging" of the sample. This hints again at a solid-state oligomerization process.
    (32) Roberts, J. S.; Klabunde, K. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 2509.
    (33) $\eta^{3}-\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left[\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}\right]_{3}$ : (a) Muetterties, E. L.; Hirsekorn, F. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 7920. (b) Blecke, J. R.; Burch, R. R.; Caulman, C. L.; Schardt, B. C. Inorg. Chem. 1981, $20,1316$.
    (34) (a) Cotton, F. A.; Marks, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 1339. (b) King, R. B.; Fronzaglia, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1966, 88, 709.

[^2]:    (47) Decreased electron density on the $\alpha$ - C (alkyl) atom in $\mathrm{RCo}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ compounds was found to correlate with decreased activity in the CO insertion reaction. ${ }^{3}$
    (48) Structures of phosphine-substituted derivatives are known. ${ }^{3}$
    (49) Bigorgne, M. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1960, 1986.

[^3]:    (50) Bockman, M. T.; Garst, J. F.; King, R. B.; Markō, L.; Ungvăry, F. J. Organomet. Chem. 1985, 279, 165
    (51) (a) Tasi, M.; Ungväry, F.; Pályi, G. Colloq. Coord. Chem., 1983, (May 30-June 1), 1. (b) Martin, J. T.; Baird, M. C. Organometallics 1983, 2, 1073. (c) Tasi, M.; Pâlyi, G. Organometallics 1985, 4, 1523. (d) Freudenberger, J. H.; Orchin, M. Organometallics 1982, 1, 1408.
    (52) (a) Brown, D. A. J. Chem. Soc. 1962, 3849. (b) Fischer, R. D. Chem. Ber. 1960, 63, 165. (c) Bor, G. Collect. Pap. Symp. Coord. Chem., Tihany (Hungary) 1964 (Sept), I, 397.
    (53) Only some $\eta^{3}$-(2- and 3-thenyl) complexes show similar behavior: King, R. B.; Kapoor, R. N. Inorg. Chem. 1969, 8, 2535.
    (54) Bor, G. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1967, 1, 81.

[^4]:    ${ }^{a}$ Assignments were made supposing idealized, higher symmetry point groups following ref $27,30,55 .{ }^{b} \nu(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O})_{\mathrm{acyl}} 1750-1730 \mathrm{~cm}{ }^{-1}$, br, low intensity band. ${ }^{c}$ Solvent: $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. ${ }^{d}$ Solvent: $\mathrm{CCl}_{4} ; \nu(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O})_{\mathrm{acyl}} 1690-1670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, br, low intensity band.

[^5]:    (55) Klein, H. F.; Karsch, H. H. Chem. Ber. 1975, 108, 944.
    (56) Wilford, J. B.; Powell, H. M. J. Chem. Soc. A 1967, 2092.

[^6]:    (57) Demuynck, J.; Strich, A.; Veillhard, A. Nouv. J. Chim. 1977, 1, 217.
    (58) Appleton, T. G.; Clark, H. C.; Manzer, L. E. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1973, $10,335$.
    (59) (a) Cser, F.; Galamb, V.; Pâlyi, G. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1979, 37, L517. (b) Cser, F.; Galamb, V.; Pălyi, G. Hungarian Colloq. Coord. Chem., 15th 1980 (May 19-21), 1
    (60) Taking into account the presently accepted mechanism of the CO "insertion" reaction. ${ }^{61}$

[^7]:    (61) (a) Calderazzo, F. Angew. Chem. 1977, 89, 3055. (b) Kuhlmann, E. J.; Alexander, J. J. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1980, 33, 195. (c) Berke, H.; Hoffmann, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 7224.
    (62) Continuous IR monitoring of the reaction did not indicate the presence of any other isomer than that finally isolated.
    (63) van den Berg, G. C.; Oskam, A. J. Organomet. Chem. 1975, 81, 1.
    (64) Szabō, P.; Markō, L.; Bor, G. Chem. Tech. (Leipzig) 1961, 13, 54.
    (65) Sheldrick, G. M. SHElXTL, a complete program system to solve to refine and to plot crystal structures from diffraction data, University Göttingen, 1981.

